

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

Jan Witold Baran, Esq. Carol A. Laham, Esq. Wiley Rein LLP 1776 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20006

JAN 2 4 2017

RE: MUR 6892

The Freedom Project, et al.

Dear Mr. Baran and Ms. Laham:

On October 30, 2014, the Federal Election Commission ("Commission") notified your clients, The Freedom Project and Lisa Lisker in her official capacity as treasurer (collectively the "Committee"), of a complaint alleging violations of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended. On January 13, 2017, based upon information contained in the complaint, as well as information supplied by your clients, the Commission found no reason to believe that the Committee violated 52 U.S.C. § 30116(a)(2)(A). Accordingly, the Commission closed its file in this matter on January 13, 2017.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. See Statement of Policy Regarding Disclosure of Closed Enforcement and Related Files, 68 Fed. Reg. 70,426 (Dec. 18, 2003) and Statement of Policy Regarding Placing First General Counsel's Reports on the Public Record, 74 Fed. Reg. 66132 (Dec. 14, 2009). The Factual and Legal Analysis, which more fully explains the Commission's findings, is enclosed.

If you have any questions, please contact Ruth Heilizer, the attorney assigned to this matter, at (202) 694-1650.

Sincerely,

Lisa J. Stevenson

Acting General Counsel

BY: Jeff S. Jordan

Assistant General Counsel
Complaints Examination and
Legal Administration

Enclosure
Factual and Legal Analysis

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

RESPONDENTS: The Freedom Project and Lisa Lisker as treasurer

Next Century Fund and Mary T. Fauth as treasurer Majority Committee PAC and Jill Thomson as treasurer

6 7

1

2 3

4

5

I. INTRODUCTION

8 9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

This matter was generated by a Complaint filed on October 27, 2014, alleging violations of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act") and Commission regulations by Respondents. It was scored as a relatively low-rated matter under the Enforcement Priority System, a system by which the Commission uses formal scoring criteria as a basis to allocate its resources and decide which matters to pursue.

The Complaint in this matter alleges that The Freedom Project and Lisa Lisker in her official capacity as treasurer ("Freedom Project"), Next Century Fund and Mary T. Fauth in her official capacity as treasurer ("Next Century Fund"), and Majority Committee PAC and Jill Thomson in her official capacity as treasurer ("Majority Committee PAC") violated provisions of the Act and Commission regulations. The Complaint includes the allegation that The Freedom Project, Next Century Fund, and Majority Committee PAC each made a \$5,000 excessive contribution to Walker 4 NC and Collin McMichael in his official capacity as treasurer (the "Committee"). Although the contributions were designated for debt retirement, the Complaint asserts that the Committee did not report any debt to which these contributions could be applied.

In response, the Committee observes that Mark Walker was a candidate in both the primary and runoff elections, held on May 6, 2014, and July 15, 2014, respectively, for the Republican nomination in North Carolina's Sixth Congressional District. According to the

22

contribution. 11 C.F.R. §§ 110.1(b)(3)(i) and (iii).

Dismissal and Case Closure – MUR 6892 Factual and Legal Analysis for Freedom Project, Next Century Fund, and Majority Committee PAC Page 2 of 3

1 Committee, in the fifteen days between the close of the July Quarterly reporting period and the date of the runoff election, it incurred debts to vendors in connection with the runoff. The 2 3 Committee, however, paid the vendors by September 30, 2014, the end of the reporting period, 4 so there was no runoff debt to report. With respect to the primary election, the Committee states 5 that it "unexpectedly received a late invoice from a vendor" for services performed during the 6 primary election. Therefore, the Committee claims that it was proper to solicit contributions to 7 retire its primary and runoff election debt. Freedom Project, Next Century Fund, and Majority 8 Committee PAC all responded in the same manner as to this issue. 9 A contribution includes "any gift, subscription, loan, advance, or deposit of money or 10 anything of value." 52 U.S.C. § 30101(8)(A)(i). 11 In 2014, multicandidate political committees, such as Freedom Project, Next Century 12 Fund, and Majority Committee PAC, could not make a contribution to a candidate that exceeded \$5,000 per election. 52 U.S.C. § 30116(a)(1)(A),(2)(A). A primary election, runoff election, 13 and general election are each considered separate "elections" under the Act, and the contribution 14 15 limits are applied separately with respect to each election. 52 U.S.C. §§ 30101(1)(A) and 16 30116(a)(6). Candidate committees are also prohibited from accepting excessive contributions. 17 52 U.S.C. § 30116(f). 18 If a committee has net debts outstanding after an election, the campaign may accept contributions after the election to retire the debts, provided that the contribution is designated for 19 20 that election, the contribution does not exceed the contributor's limit for the designated election, 21 and the campaign has net debts outstanding for the designated election on the day it receives the

Dismissal and Case Closure – MUR 6892 Factual and Legal Analysis for Freedom Project, Next Century Fund, and Majority Committee PAC Page 3 of 3

- 1 Since it appears that the Committee had sufficient primary and runoff election debt to
- 2 accept debt retirement contributions, the Commission finds no reason to believe that Freedom
- 3 Project, Next Century Fund, and Majority Committee PAC violated 52 U.S.C. § 30116(a)(2)(A)
- 4 by making excessive contributions to the Committee.